ECONOMIC IMPACTS of INVASIVE SPECIES w CA AGRICULTURE

Dr. Karen Klonsky
Dept. of Ag. and Resource Economics
University of CA, Davis

INVASIVE SPECIES CROP LOSSES AND RELATED CONTROL COSTS

	Percent Invasive	Percent Loss	Crop loss (\$ billion)	Control cost
Weeds	73%	12%	23	\$3 billion
Insects & mites	40%	13%	14	\$500 million
Plant pathogens	65%	12%	21	\$500 million
Total		37%	58	\$4 billion

Source: Pimentel, et al., 2000. BioScience (50:1),

ECONOMIC CONCEPTS

- Public good Consumption by one person does not preclude or make more expensive consumption by another. Example: national defense
- External cost Occurs when producers or consumers do not incur the full cost of their actions.
- Example: Pesticide pollution of a stream

MARKET VS. POLICY SOLUTIONS

- Market solution may be industry wide. Groups of individuals agree to change behavior for common good.
- Public Policy Action Public good characteristics point to market failure but not the appropriate form of government action.
- Most pest management regulation of ag are related to environmental concerns.

POLICY OPTIONS FOR INVASIVES

- Exclusion or border measures prohibit entry into the country or a region of the country.
- Containment limit spread once the pest is established
- Eradication eliminate a pest from a region.
- Monitoring outbreaks and movement

ANIMAL AND PLANT INSPECTION SERVICE (APHIS)

- Charged with protecting the US against entry of new pests and diseases primarily of agricultural animals and plants.
- Border rules for imported farm products
- Control of accidental entry of farm pests brought to the US by travelers.
- Pest eradication programs.
- Now part of the Dept. of Homeland Security

PRIVATE SECTOR MANAGEMENT OF ESTABLISHED INFESTATIONS

Plant breeding Solarizatoin

Crop rotation Biological control

Cultural control Resistance

PARTIES IMPACTED BY INVASIVE SPECIES

- Agricultural industries
 - Growers lower income, higher costs, higher market price
 - Marketing sector restrictions on exports
 - Input suppliers demand for labor, fuel, seed, and other inputs may increase or decrease
- Consumers increase in price
- Taxpayers public funding for programs

RESEARCH EXAMPLES

Daniel Sumner, Editor.2000. Exotic Pests and Diseases, Iowa State Press.

- "Risk assessment of plant parasitic nematodes", Ferris et al.
- "A rational regulatory policy: the case of Karnal bunt", Glauber and Narrod.

RISK ASSESSMENT OF PLANT PARASITIC NEMATODES IN CA

Total value of production	\$30 billion
Number of top 10 commodities impacted	7
Value of affected commodities	\$18 billion
Percent of total value	61%

Major crops impacted: Grapes, nursery, lettuce, citrus, cotton, strawberries, alfalfa

GROWER COSTS AND BENEFITS OF ERADICATING NEMATODES

Nematode	Crop	Costs \$/Year	Benefits \$/Year
Rice foliar	Rice	\$473	\$320
Reniform	Cotton	\$473	\$163
	Wine grapes	\$465	\$170
	Oranges	\$401	\$152
Burrowing	Oranges	\$393	\$150

TOTAL COST *of* WIDESPREAD NEMATODE ESTABLISHMENT 1,000 ACRES

Nematode	Crop	One pest	Both pests
		\$ million	\$ million
Sting	Cotton	1	
Reniform	Cotton	2	3
Golden	Tomato	2	
Burrowing	Tomato	2	2

KARNAL BUNT DISEASE BRIEF HISTORY

- 1982 Wheat disease found in Mexico.
- 1988 USDA risk assessment found risk to be high due to border proximity.
- 1991 USDA assessment; recommends quarantine in the event of an introduction.
- 1996 Detection in AZ. USDA emergency quarantine in AZ, CA, NM, and TX.

IMPACT OF REDUCED EXPORTS FROM AN OUTBREAK OF KARNAL BUNT

Item	Unit	0%	10%	25%
Exports	Mil. Bu.	1,200	1,080	900
Price	\$/bushel	3.85	3.63	3.29
Income	\$ million	11,358	10,813	8,146
Grower losses	\$ million		-545	-1,397
Consumer gains	\$ million		284	747
Net impact	\$ million		-261	-650

COSTS DUE 70 KARNAL BUNT REGULATIONS

Item	Cost (\$ million)	
Plowdown of infected fields	1.2	
KB + grain diverted to cattle feed	4.2	
Railcar cleaning and disinfecting	.6	
Restrictions on seed movement	6.0	
Loss in value of seed	0.0	
Millfeed treatment	28.0	
KB - negative grain value loss	20.0	

QUARANTINE BENEFITS AND COSTS (\$ MILLION)

	Reduction in Exports	
	10%	25%
Benefit over 10 years	\$1,979	\$5,095
Cost: Plowdown of fields	- 1.2	- 1.2
Cost: Diversion to cattle feed	- 4.2	- 4.2
Net benefit	\$1,973	\$5,090

ADDITIONAL COSTS and BENEFITS OF ALTERNATIVE QUARANTINE OPTIONS

		Added Benefits Reduction in Exports	
	Added Cost	10% 25%	
	\$ million		
Railcar cleaning	.6	115	285
Restrictions on seed movement	6.0	3	7.5
Millseed treatment	28	.1	.4